(2)

No. 06-1133

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF MULTIJURISDICTION PRACTICE (NAAMJP) ET. AL.,
PETITIONERS,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, ANTHONY J. SCIRICA, CHIEF JUDGE OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT JUDICIAL COUNCIL ET. AL.,
RESPONDENTS.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

Joseph Robert Giannini
Counsel of Record
925 S. Westgate Ave. #102
Los Angeles, CA 90049
Phone 310 442 9386

CURRY & TAYLOR ♦ WASH D.C. ♦ (202) 393-4141 ♦ USSCINFO.COM

Recent Congressional hearings in the United States Senate and House Judiciary Committee about Respondent Attorney General Alberto R. Gonzales, and the issuance of subpoenas for public testimony about his firing of eight United States Attorneys, is a new development and another compelling reason why this Court should exercise its supervisory responsibility and grant review.

President Bush has publicly admitted "mistakes were made" by the Department of Justice under Mr. Gonzales' leadership. It has been further broadcast in virtually every newspaper and television channel that Respondent Gonzales appears to have violated his public trust to impartially enforce the law by firing these United States Attorneys in retaliation for not prosecuting democrats or prosecuting republican office-holders. The integrity of the United States Department of Justice under Mr. Gonzales leadership has been stained. Arizona U.S. Attorney Paul K. Charlton is one of the United States Attorneys who has been fired. His Petition to the Arizona Supreme Court for reciprocal bar admission of federal attorneys is a core component in this Petition for Certiorari. U.S. Attorney Charlton's Petition for Admission on behalf of the United States of American cites this Court's precedent and case law — that is the polar opposite of the law argued by respondent Alberto R. Gonzales below.

Senator Leahy has been quoted in televised conferences there have been "too many closed door hearings where inadequate or misleading information has been presented." The same holds true in this proceeding. Respondent Gonzales who has a constitutional duty in our government of checks and balances to impartially enforce the law has refused to answer Interrogatories, Requests for Admissions, and

to Produce Documents. Alberto R. Gonzales has refused to provide deposition testimony. The hearings below were also closed door sessions where inadequate and misleading information was presented. There has never been any public hearing in this case where Alberto R. Gonzales is the lead respondent and equal citizenship rights are at stake. Respondent Gonzales as the Attorney General has argued and asked the United States of America, and this United States Supreme Court, to nullify by "local rule" the sacred First Amendment rights to free speech, public assembly, and to petition the Government for redress of grievances.

Respondent Gonzales has by failure to respond to this Petition asked and argued that this Honorable United States Supreme Court should conceal the contents of 25 exhibits petitioners submitted into evidence without objection. Respondent Gonzales has asked and argued from the day this case was filed that Article III Courts should disregard the Rules Enabling Act and conceal a United States Judicial Conference Study that the challenged local rules are a "minefield of inconsistency," and these local rules have been condemned by the American Bar Association and Reporter for the American Law Institute.

The guiding purpose of the Bill of Rights and our Constitution was to form a more perfect Union. Respondent Gonzales' tainted integrity and actions in this case cannot be divorced from the other respondents. Petitioners in this case have repeatedly in writing requested from Respondent Gonzales the opportunity to sit down and discuss the questions presented in this petition with other respondents including Third Circuit Chief Judge Scirica. Respondent Gonzales counsel has refused permission.

This Court should thus exercise its supervisory responsibility and grant review.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph Robert Giannini, Esq.
Counsel of Record
925 S. Westgate Ave. #102
Los Angeles, CA 90049
Phone 310 442 9386